G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. S 7-20

Serial Nos 495

192 0.

(Enquiries)

Later references.

B 415—20 8.10

W½ 416-22-42

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for Septr 21

SUBJECT.

Maintaining motor road round Sri Chamundeswari temple at Mysore

---

Huzur Secy, Chief Engr

Presidt Dt Board, Mysa Supdt

1564-8 Dy 21-9-21

Passing orders re ——

WD 1988—GPB—16,826—23-7-21

G. R. S. III—1] 1920.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inwards)

NOTES.

File No. 57 20

FILE HEADING.

Incidence of the cost of maintaining the motor road round the Sri Chamundeswari temple at Mysore.

From

The President, District Board, Mysore.

No. C 267 & 20-21 LB Dated 4.2.21. Received 12.2.21

Diary No. 1646	Enclos. 4	Spare Copies. —	Serial No. 4.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards for orders copy of a resolution of the D.B. Board of Mysore stating that the Board are unable to accept the interpretations put by Govt on Sections 8, 27, 28, 32 & 35 of the L.B. Regulations to uphold their authority in matters not initiated by the Board.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

In September 1913 an estimate for Rs 1084/- was sanctioned for Constructing a motor road round the Sri Chamundeswari temple on the hill near Mysore (Inwards File No 16 - A 38 & 1d2)

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mmz File No. 57-20 of 1920.

Serial No.

and in October 1917 a revised estimate for Rs 25'10/- was sanctioned for the same work the charge being ordered to be met from the unappropriated balance out of the special savings at the disposal of the Palace Imzrai institutions. (Vide file no. Imz 212-17 Sls 1+2)

2. In Nov 1917, the request of the Palace Controller to meet the cost of repairing the road in question from the State funds was not sanctioned, (Vide letter no 1235/Imz 212-17-4 dated the 27th Nov 1917)

3. In their order No 3356-60/ Imzrai 375-19-4 dated the 5th April 1920 Govt directed that the cost of maintenance of the road already incurred be met in equal shares by the P. W. D the Mysore Dt. Board, and the Sri Chamundeswari [illegible] as a special case, and that the future maintenance charges should be borne in equal

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

properties by the Govt. and the Mysore Dt Board. (File not available for being put up at present, as it has been taken off by the officials of the Dewan's office for submission to the Asst Secretary in duty with the Dewan vide slip attached)

4. At their meeting held on the 25th Nov 1919 the Dt Board of Mysore resolved that they were unable to bear the cost in question as directed and this resolution was put up to Govt by the President of the Board for orders. In this office letter No 12772/Mmz 57-20-2 dated the 7th Oct 1920 (Mmz 57-20-28 dated 7.10.20) the President was informed that under Sections 8, 27, 28, 32 and 35 of the L.B. Regulation, Govt was empowered to impose certain financial obligations on the Boards requested him to advise the Dt Board to give immediate effect to Govt

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 111-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Irrig File No. 57 of 1920.

Serial No.

P. W D

No 3356-60 irrig 37. 6-4 dated the 5th April 1920 and provide thereto

5. The President of the Dt Board now forwards a copy of the resolution of the Dt Board of Mysore on the decision communicated by Govt in their letter dated 7th ~~April~~ October 1920 declining, as a matter of principle, to accept the interpretations put on Sec 8-27. 20, 32 & 35 of the L.A. Act to uphold the authority of Govt in matters not initiated by the Boards, and stating further action will be taken in the matter.

Submitted for orders.

C.S. 15/2/21. Ag 15/2/21

Fle 3750/19 Irrig has been sent to Dewan's Office

F.S.

— Apart from the fact that the order of the Govt dt 6th Sept & the maintenance of the Road round the Dt Chamundi Hill

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. I.[I-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | 1002 File No. | of 19

Serial No.

the points raised at Clause 5 the ~~Act~~ interpretation & Scope of Section of the L.S. Act —

The ~~file~~ file may be transmitted to the L.S. ~~Secty~~ Branch for orders on the Comm^r of the President of the Dr Board —

CMS
15/2/21

(Secretary Income Tax)

4095-7
16.2.21

A. approved. The case has taken a turn wh. practically takes it out of the purview of the Income Tax Dept.

Rees
17.2.24.

CMS
17.2.21

D no. 6332
19/2/21

(Revenue Secretary)

Submitted for orders

Annexure 1, Section 5 (1) (a) (i) (ii) (iii) (iv) (v) (vi) (vii) (viii) (ix) (x) (xi) (xii) (xiii) (xiv) (xv) (xvi) (xvii) (xviii) (xix) (xx) (xxi) (xxii) (xxiii) (xxiv) (xxv) (xxvi) (xxvii) (xxviii) (xxix) (xxx) (xxxi) (xxxii) (xxxiii) (xxxiv) (xxxv) (xxxvi) (xxxvii) (xxxviii) (xxxix) (xl) (xli) (xlii) (xliii) (xliv) (xlv) (xlvi) (xlvii) (xlviii) (xlix) (l) (li) (lii) (liii) (liv) (lv) (lvi) (lvii) (lviii) (lix) (lx) (lxi) (lxii) (lxiii) (lxiv) (lxv) (lxvi) (lxvii) (lxviii) (lxix) (lxx) (lxxi) (lxxii) (lxxiii) (lxxiv) (lxxv) (lxxvi) (lxxvii) (lxxviii) (lxxix) (lxxx) (lxxxi) (lxxxii) (lxxxiii) (lxxxiv) (lxxxv) (lxxxvi) (lxxxvii) (lxxxviii) (lxxxix) (xc) (xci) (xcii) (xciii) (xciv) (xcv) (xcvi) (xcvii) (xcviii) (xcix) (c) (ci) (cii) (ciii) (civ) (cv) (cvi) (cvii) (cviii) (cix) (cx) (cxi) (cxii) (cxiii) (cxiv) (cxv) (cxvi) (cxvii) (cxviii) (cxix) (cxx) (cxxi) (cxxii) (cxxiii) (cxxiv) (cxxv) (cxxvi) (cxxvii) (cxxviii) (cxxix) (cxxx) (cxxxi) (cxxxii) (cxxxiii) (cxxxiv) (cxxxv) (cxxxvi) (cxxxvii) (cxxxviii) (cxxxix) (cxl) (cxli) (cxlii) (cxliii) (cxliv) (cxlv) (cxlvi) (cxlvii) (cxlviii) (cxlix) (cl) (cli) (clii) (cliii) (cliv) (clv) (clvi) (clvii) (clviii) (clix) (clx) (clxi) (clxii) (clxiii) (clxiv) (clxv) (clxvi) (clxvii) (clxviii) (clxix) (clxx) (clxxi) (clxxii) (clxxiii) (clxxiv) (clxxv) (clxxvi) (clxxvii) (clxxviii) (clxxix) (clxxx) (clxxxi) (clxxxii) (clxxxiii) (clxxxiv) (clxxxv) (clxxxvi) (clxxxvii) (clxxxviii) (clxxxix) (cxc) (cxci) (cxcii) (cxciii) (cxciv) (cxcv) (cxcvi) (cxcvii) (cxcviii) (cxcix) (cc) (cci) (cc

G.R.S. III—3]

1332

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

The manager Leeds is requested to kindly put up the muzfile 375-19-fl 4. The whole question now depends upon the wording adopted in the J.O. of 5th April 1920.

B

for
7/3/24

To the Under, mag bureau

A

1/ Muzfile 375 / has been taken up by the Bureau office for which it may be returned - (See page 4 of the notes below)

1/3/24

1/ Branch Newcastle.

B.A.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3] D. 1911

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

To the Superintendent
Dewani Office for perusal
& Compliance. (vide note
of the Progress sheets in previous note)

Sd/-
21/3/21

The collection (Mis 375-19
SLs 1-4) which was kept for
reference with L.B. file no 36-20
D. no 6138.
has been returned to Records.

Sd/-
3.3.21.

By the Legislative Branch
if the Chief Secy may
be requested to kindly put
up the file relating to
the issue promulgation ch
of the Regn no 4 of 1918.
(as to v.p. Regns) The note
therein will perhaps throw
some light on the question
of control ch to be exercised
by Govt in the work of the
Boards etc

(This will be returned
as early as possible—at
any rate before
Leg's Council meets
again next, if the
amendment Bill is
to be taken up
meeting)

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied for slips pasted on this form.

Leg Br)

8.3.21

The file (Legs 4/16 & 1-6) to put up for
orders return

6332

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Inwd File No. 57 of 1920

Serial No.

As the question involves the interpretation of some of the sections of the L.B. & V. R. Regn II of 1908, the file may be sent to the Govt Advocate for favr of opinion Subd

Jan
19/4/20
Sub

FeB
The i.o. of 5 April 1920 has not yet been given effect to by the Mysore Dt. Board who are contending that Govt. ~~has~~ cannot legally impose such a (financial) burden without its consent. It was pointed out by Govt that it had the power to pass such an order under Secs. 8, 27, 28, 32, and 35 of the LB Regn. The Dt Bd still demur, and Mr B Narasimha Rau Dt. Bd member has sent thro the Dt Bd Pres^t a note in which he argues well in support of the position taken up by the Board. The Govt Advocate may perhaps be consulted

Ft Z

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

6357
12.5.20

Revd (2nd member) 13/5 10/5/20 28/4

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Policy File No. 57 of 1920

Serial No. 1

U. O. No. 160
16.5.21.

Forwarded with compliments to the Govt. Advocate for favour of opinion

M. C. Ramaswamy
14/5/21 For Rev Secy

L.S.G. GEN & REV. 26 MAY 1921

0115

U.O. No. 132.
26.5.21.

TPI

It is not disputed that under the Regulation powers of supervision & control over the Dt. Boards are given to Govt. While on the one hand it is recognised that these powers should be so exercised as not to cause any unnecessary financial burden being thrown on Dt. Boards, it is not meant to restrict the exercise of such powers in regard to the statutory liabilities imposed on such Boards by the Regn itself. The question thus depends on the interpretation of some of the sections of the Regn providing for such matters.

Under Sec 8 on the Dt. Board is cast the duty of the repairs & maintenance of all public roads within the local limits of its jurisdiction so far as its funds permit, unless any particular road is excepted by Govt from such obligation. In this case there is in

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

Existence what is undoubtedly a public road (i.e. a road to which the general public have the right of access & user) & it is clearly the duty of the Dt. Board to maintain it to the extent to which its funds allow. There would have been some point in the objections of the Dt. Board if it had been called upon to construct a new road or pay for its construction but in this case the road having already been constructed at the cost of the temple & being available for public use, the Board's plea that it was deprived of the initiative in the matter has no force. In the absence of any orders of Govt. excluding the road in question from the control of the Dt. Board that road will have to be maintained by that body subject of course to the condition placed by Govt. that it is liable to pay one half of the future cost of maintenance, the other half being borne from Mysore funds. It is not urged and there is no reason to show that the ~~funds~~ of the Dt. Board will not permit such modest ~~a~~-

Serial No.

charges being borne - As it is then the duty of the Dt Board to maintain this road the charges incurred on that account are payable from Dist funds under Sec 27.-

Sec 28 will be applicable only at the time of the Consideration of the Board budget. Should the Dt Bd omit to make adequate provision for this road etc. will be within their rights to move the budget by including a suitable provision for its maintenance.

Sec 32 relates to powers of Supervision. - Tentative vested in the Govt + need not be considered at present.

Vide Sec 35. By the resolution of the Dt Bd it is apparent that they have no intention of maintaining the road in future & this amounts to a default in the performance of a duty imposed by law. They may now be informed under Sec 35 — that if such duty is not undertaken by them within a date which may now be fixed by Govt the maintenance of the road will be entrusted to such

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Land File No. 57 of 1922

Serial No

[illegible] authority [illegible] may [illegible] to provide [illegible] cost [illegible] Trust.

[illegible] Trustees [illegible] maintenance charges which incurred [illegible] unless [illegible] have no [illegible] Competent [illegible] Board to pay [illegible] the formalities prescribed under [illegible] 38 were not observed [illegible] such charges were incurred. [illegible] view of the fact that [illegible] 1855 and Rs [illegible] [illegible] be met out of [illegible] may waive this claim in a Special Case

25-5-24

Submitted for orders with reference to paras 18 & 19 supra.

27/5/24

Rowlett

28/5

2nd Member)

1/5/24

I agree with the views of Mr. Rowlett except as regards binding the Board to maintain all the

28/5/24

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 57 of 1920

Serial No.

road whether constructed by the Board or taken over by the Govt. or not. Hence, we cannot maintain the position that the Govt. should defray a portion of the cost already incurred in the improvement of the road as its premises concerned are not taken. The cost may be borne equally by the Govt. & the Municipal Board.

31/5

Govt. Pleader

2

6370-367.
31.5.21.

I accept the view Expressed by the Govt. Advocate.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on 11 JN 2 1921
Reg. No. 7493.

1.6.21

Govt.

12.6.21

Transferred to the Revenue Secretariat (Vide para 8 of the rules supra)

13.6.21

The order

26

Dr III
D 9354
26/6/21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

clearly supersede those in para 8 refd to by the Mysg Secrt.

3/ As the order has to issue from the Mysore Secrt the file may be transferred there - Subject to any objn by the Mysore Secrt.

3 Yes

25-6

116

33 Orders will have to be issued in terms of the Govt. advocate's opinion Contd in para 23 of the notes supra. This involves contemplates an interpretation of certain sections of the Local Bds regulation and the relation of Govt to Local Boards in regard to certain works of public utility. Here a very important question of Principle ~~was to be decided~~ is involved in the case, and the order has therefore to issue in the L. B. Branch of the Secrett.

34 As for the Mysore portion of the Contribution for the work this order

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1293—GPB—60,000- 1 12-16 —S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Nuzz File No. 57 of 1920

Serial No.

May be sent to the Nuzz: Secrett for concurrence before issue.

28/6/20 (35) The whole order may be viewed more appropriately from by the puts in the L-F Dept - and copy sent to other Dr Dpts. as the Order will contain an explanation of certain provisions of L & Bd Law applicable to all the Dpts.

29/6

Rev Secy)

With reference to para 35 supra, it is respectfully submitted that the previous order fixing the contribution payable by the Jeypore departments was issued from the Nuzzri Secrt and the proposed order is in modification of the above and has to appropriately issue from the same Secrt No reference will be made therein either to the Laws

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mwz File No. 57 of 1920.

Serial No.

of Local Boards w-to Local Funds.

Para
3/8/21
Ld

~~Hon~~
~~17.21~~.

It is not perhaps necessary to go into an elaborate exposition of L.B. Laws in this new Go to be issued modifying the Go dat- 5 Ap. 20, Fe B (which was issued from the Mwzra Branch) to the extent that the good & Mwzra Dept (and not the D^t B^d) will pay for the Cost of maintenance already incurred. over the road As future maint^ce, the latter order will hold good So this fact only may be indicated in the new order and in the memo forwarding the G.O to the D^t B^d the attention of the Ex. D^t may be drawn to the observations made in Para 23 Exp So this may perhaps be returned to the Mwzri Br for necy further action

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

New Secy) Minute this may be done — hm 17.7.21.
27.7.21.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mulay File No. of 1920.

Serial No.

Murray Scott)

2/7/21.

Orders have to issue in this file. The question is from which Secretariat — Revenue or Muzrai — it should issue. The Rev Secretariat thinks that the order ought to issue from the Muzrai Sect:

The question relates to the Maintenance Charges of the Motor Road Round the Sri Chamundeswari Temple on the Chamundi Hill. On 5 April 1920, the Muz Sect, after Consulting the Rev Sect, issued an order that the Charges incurred in the year should be met in equal shares by the Public Works Dept, the Dt Board Mysore and the Sri Chamundeswari Temple and that the future cost should be borne in equal proportions by the Dt Board, Mysore and the Temple.

The Dt Board addressed

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

I-2]

l and Revenue
ecretariat.

File No. of 19

Serial No.

A Communication to the Rev
Secy Complaining of the order issued
from the Muy Seel— and questioning
the powers of Govt to issue such
an order After Consulting again
the Rev Seel— an letter
order was issued by the Muy
Seel Confirming the Original
order. The present Communication
is a fresh representation made
by the President of the Dt Board
and he states as follows:—
"It will be seen from the
Resolution that as a matter of
principle they are unable to
accept the interpretations put on
Sections 8, 27, 28, 32 and 35—
(of the Local Boards' Regulation)
to uphold the authority of Govt
viz. not matters, now impugned
by the Board; The Dt Board
have also said that the Resolution
passed by Govt without the
invitation of the Board is against
the Spirit and Sections of the

Nᴏᴛᴇ—Contn sheet

S. III-2]

neral and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 19

Serial No.

21

Local Boards Regulation"

The issue raised by the Dr Board is one of principle and relates to the Council under- ~~the~~ ~~Local~~ Local Boards Regulation- ~~the~~ ~~Local~~ ~~Boards~~ ~~Regulation~~ in the Rules supra cannot possibly be viewed without making a definite pronouncement on the question of principle raised by the Dr Board and without giving an opportunity to the Council of the Sections referred to above and there cannot be two opinions that the Rev Secretariat is the proper Secretariat for issuing such an order. In fact & the Rules, the final member has made the following observation:-

"The Case has taken a turn which practically takes it out of the purview of the Municipal Dept" It is a matter for regret

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Rev File No. 57 of 1920.

Serial No.

that in spite of the fact that the attention of the Rly Secy was drawn to the First Members Minute dated 17-2-21, the Case-worker should have written — Contrary to the said Minute — that the Order was to issue from the Mysore Secy. The Case-worker states that from 26 of the papers where the second member has recorded his views) there are two pieces of law the former does not deal with the question as to which of the Secretariats — Rly & Mysore - should issue the orders.

It is stated that the Order that will have to issue now will modify the orders already passed by the Mys Secy in some respects, but there is no objection to the Rly Secy drawing fully an order after showing the draft to the Mys Secy.

First Member) 17/6/21

P.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Revs File No. 57 of 19 24

Serial No

125-125

6.7.24

I think that this is a matter in which the G. O issues would appropriately form the L. F. Board & the Rev. Secrett. I am on the records here too much time. Energy & Stationery has been written over a discussion on this point. It is indeed ~~[illegible]~~ splitting a hair!

Peel
8.7.24

8/7/24

Transferred to the Rev. Secrett

8/7/24

Draft G.O. is submd

24/7/24

Dy Secy

27/7

2nd member) -

27.7.24

In draft - may be revised. Rem need not be a discussion of this legal point however. Main question is if it is intent in Mor. Regn. that persons under 25 yrs of age should be appointed by Govt. Govt. means here, I suppose to DTW. The answer from the Govt. is clear

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

W.612/20

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19 20

Serial No.

W.C. No. 11
2-8-21

Revised draft submitted as directed

1/8/21

Forwarded unofficially with compliments to the Chief Engineer for favour of his opinion in the matter as to his course for meeting the GO

By order

for Secretary to Govt

1/8.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19

07115

Serial No

Off No 22/PWD Secl Dtd 4 August 21.

GEN & REV. SECTT
23 AUG 1921

Returned with compliments to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

2. The cost will be met from the reserve grant under "Repairs, Communications"

Bangalore 22nd August 23

For Chief Engineer of Mysore.

A.N/20-8.

Recompts and —
7 collections 7 file
1 PWD file

Draft revised accordingly. To keep up consistency, it has to issue from the municipal Secty - Secl

Second Member

1522 7/8/21

26/8/21

26/8/21

25/8/21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record

welcome this
little child as an extra
copy has been prepared
the file may be
transferred to the
Museum keeper at
East Grinstead

Yes
8/9/24

Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. III—37

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

As the previous orders have been issued from the Navy Secretary and all the Communications have been filed registered there it seems proper to have this issued from there alone If however this is issued in the Rev. Secretary it will cause some ~~embarrassment~~ inconvenience as [illegible] only the [illegible] bears the serial No. of this Secretary or otherwise the whole file will have to be given a L.S. file heading

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied. or slips pasted on this form

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 57 of 19 21

Serial No.

As the G.O. now proposed has revised both the question of principle raised by the Dt. Bd. and merely fixes the proportion of the proceeds from each of the depths taken as it is a modification of the one issued from this Secrett, the G.O. may be issued from this Secrett.

The principles in the G.O. proposed in the Rev. Secrett

The two copies on the file are submitted for signature with the necessary changes made therein

B.A.
10/9/21

Members
Myself dated 8.9.1921
The proposal approved, the order may be issued from the Govt. Secrett.
Second Member 12/9/21

1808-208
12.9.21

Approved

Rec'd
14.9.21

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 57 of 19 20

Serial No.	
5	To, Hon'g. Secry; Ch. Engineer; Comptr. Hon'g. Comptr. & President Distt Bd. Mysore No. 1564-8 by. 21. 9. 1921. Directs in modification of the proposal that the Court in question already incurred be borne equally by the P.W.D. & the Sri Chamundeswari temple & that the future maintenance charges of the road in question be borne in equal proportions by the Distt. Bd. Mysore & the temple as above ordered.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.



19

From

The President,

District Board of

To Mysore.

The Secretary to the Govt: of

Mysore, Bangalore. Mysore Department, Bangalore.

Dated 3 - 2 - 21

Despatched 4 - 2 - 21

Received

General No. C 207 - 5 / 20 - 21

No.

Enclosure No. 4 F - F! 1921

Subject.

Submits a copy of the resolution of the District Board in the matter of maintaining the Motor road ---- round the Sri Chamundeswari Temple on the Chamundeswari Hill.

No. C. 267 RB/20-21
Encs. four.

Office of the President,
District Board of Mysore,
Dated, Mysore, the 3rd February 1921.

From

P. F. Bowring, Esq, J.P.,
President, Mysore District Board,
M Y S O R E.

To

T. Jayarama Iyer, Esq, B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department, B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.1278: Muz. 57-20-3 dated 7th October 1920 anent the payment of the District Fund quota towards the cost of maintaining the Motor roads round the Sri Chamundeswari temple on the Chamundi Hill, I have the honor to -- state that the matter was placed before the District Board at their -- meeting held on the 29th January 1921 and that a copy of their resolution is enclosed. It will be seen from the resolution that as a matter of principle they are unable to accept the interpretations put on Sections 8. 27. 28. 32 and 35 to up-hold the authority of Government in matters not initiated by the Board. The notes furnished by the marginally -- noted members regarding the interpretations of the above sections are transmitted herewith for the information of Government.

Mr. B. Narasinga Rao. }
Mr. Devoji Rao. }
Mr. H. Krishna Sastry. }

I have the honor to request that final orders of Government may be obtained in the matter of the respective powers of the Government and the District Board

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

P R E S I D E N T,
District Board of Mysore.

Subject No. 1.

"Read letter No1272. Muz. 57-20-2 dated the 7th October 1920 from the Secretary to Government, Muzrai Department, requesting that the District Board may be advised to bear their portion of the initial and maintenance charges of the Motor Road round the Sri Chamundeswari Temple road on the Chamundi Hills."

Resolution:- "It was resolved that (1) the Board regret that this question should have arisen in connection with the road round the Chamundi Temple, (2) that as a matter of principle, the -- Board are unable to accept the interpretations placed on Sections 8, 27, 28, 32 and 35 to uphold the authority of Government in -- matters not initiated by the District Board and (3) that the order passed by the Government without the initiation of the Board is - against the spirit and sections of the Local Boards Regulation."

H Lakshmanna

For President,

District Board of Mysore.

Proceedings of the District Board of Mysore passed at a meeting held at 2 p m., at Mysore on ... Saturday ... the ... 29th ... January 1921

A. Ex-officio Members

Present -
1. The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District
2. The Assistant Commissioner, French Rocks Sub-Division
3. Do Mysore do
4. Do Nanjangud do
5. ~~Do~~ ~~Malvalli~~ do Personal Appearance
6. The District Medical Officer, Mysore
7. The District Sanitary Officer, Mysore
8. The Executive Engineer, Mysore

absent - 9. The District Inspector of Education Mysore

B Elected Members

Elected by Taluk Boards

Present - 10. Mr. Mallanna elected by the Taluk Board of Mysore.
11. ,, H Ranganaswamiengar, do do
absent - 12. ,, Boregowda, do Yedatore
Present - 13 ,, Appajigowda, do do
absent - 14 ,, H. V. Hanumantha Setty, do Hunsur
15 ,, H. N. Raghavendra Rao, do do
16 ,, B Chandrasekhariah, Heggaddevankote
17 , P Narayanasami, Taluk Board of Gundlupet
18. , Dorasamiengar, do Chamrajnagar
19 ,, Venkatasamaiya do do
20 ,, E Krishnappa, do Nanjangud
Present - 21 , Papanna, do do
22 , Shamiengar do T Narsipur
23 ,, Varadiah, do do
24 ,, Puttasami Gowda, do Malavalli
25. ,, Biram Khan, do do
26 ,, G. V Nanjappa Setty, do Mandya
27 ,, G. Siddappa, do do
28 ,, Ramaswamaiya, do Nagamangala.
29 ,, Kulle Gowda, do do
absent - 30. , B. Narasinga Rao do Seringapatam
Present - 31 ,, Devaji Rao, do do
32 ,, H. Krsihna Sastry, do Krishnarajpete
33. ,, Ayachita Narayan Dikshit, Taluk Board of Krishnarajpete.
34 ,, Natesa Mudaliar, do French Rocks Sub-Taluk

Elected by Municipal Councils.

absent - 35. ,, A Krishna Rao, B A , B.L., elected by the Municipal Council of Mysore City
36. ,, S. A Javaraya, do do Seringapatam
Present - 37. ,, K Venkatasamaiya, B A , B L do do Nanjangud

Elected by Inamdars

Present - 38. ,, M. K Krishna Rao Pleader, Mysore

Elected by recognised Association

— do — 39 ,, C Narasimhaiya, B A , B.L , elected by the Bar Association Mysore

C. Nominated Members

absent - 40. Revd Father Laurent
Present - 41. Lokaseva Niratha Mr. Amble Annaya Pandit
42. Mr. Mir Raza Ali
43. ,, Mayi Gowda, Patel, Bannur
44 ,, Linga Gowda, Maddur.
absent - ~~45.~~ , Muhammad Sait, Mysore.
Present - 45 - Mrs. S. Shamiengar - Melkote

To

The President of the District Boards,

[illegible].

Sir,

With reference to your memo [illegible] dated 7th October 1940 - on the Secretary to the Government: Municipal Department, Simla - regarding, if any, on the said Act, I have the honour to state that I have carefully studied the letter in question and also the various provisions of the Local Boards Regulation. With due reference and humble submission to the Government, I am sorry I am unable to concur in their view about the status and the character of the Local Boards as expressed in the said letter of reference.

Before I discuss the various provisions of the Local Boards Regulation, I may be permitted to state the conditions and circumstances which led to the passing of the present Local Boards Regulation in the terms in which it now stands.

The Government during consideration of the unsatisfactory and unprogressive condition of the municipal and Local Boards with a view to foster a spirit of self-responsibility in the said bodies, appointed a representative committee (of which myself was a member) to discuss the questions and suggest recommendations so as to enhance the usefulness of the Boards. After prolonged deliberations a report was submitted to Government containing various measures for improving local administration. The Government in their [illegible] No.3005-55/M.I., 152-Lo-1 dated [illegible], accepted most of the recommendations of the Committee and ordered that legislation should be undertaken on those lines.

It may not be out of place, if I extract a passage or two from the said order to illustrate the liberal spirit and sentiment evinced by the Government, in dealing with the administration of local self-government. In paragraph two of the said Order, it is stated as follows:-

In a letter to the Government of India His Highnesse's Government wrote in 1885 "That in working these committees (boards) it has been found difficult to awaken in the minds of the non-official members, most interested in the administration of Local Funds, a full sense of their powers and duties and the whole administration of the Funds has practically fallen into the hands of Government officers. This is chiefly owing to the preponderance of the official members and to the undefined and almost unlimited subordination of the committees to Government officials in the administration of the Funds". After making the above extract the Government observe that "These remarks would apply with equal force at present (i.e., in the year 1916) especially with regard to the District and Taluk Boards and Minor Municipalities in spite of the advance in education and enlightenment in recent years. There is reason to believe that the apathy displayed by the non-official members of the Local Bodies is attributable to a great extent to

(1) the deficeincy of the elected popular element,

(2) the want of reasonable powers of disposal over their funds,

and

(3) the interferance in details exercised by the departments of Government over the affairs of local bodies"

With a view to remove all these defects the Local Boards Regulation was ~~thoroughly~~ thoroughly revised and brought into force. On the provisions of the said Regulation the Government now rely as giving them power to control the Local Boards in all their dealings.

Regarding the control of the Local Bodies, it is stated ~~that~~, in Paragraph 9 of the said Order quoted above, that "It has

"It has been recognised every where that [illegible] control is very necessary and is not incompatible [illegible] Government. But such control should be limited to [illegible] of policy. The spirit of this portion of [illegible] confirmed by the Hon. Member (Mr. [illegible]) who [illegible] of the Local Boards Bill and who when piloting the Bill [illegible] Legislative Council has said to t "the Government [illegible] to broad questions only."

With these preliminaries, I should like to examine [illegible] Provisions of the Local Boards Regulation -- especially those relating to the 'Control' -- exercisable by the Government, and to be interpreted in the light of the broad policy now conceived and laid down by the Government.

Now turning to the letter of the Mukhi Secretary under discussion, I wish to deal with the sections relied upon, viz. II and I first take up Section 8 of the Local Boards Regulation. The Government seem to rely upon the words "shall subject to such conditions and conditions as the Government may from time to time". This general provision is intended for laying down broad policy generally applicable to all the Boards. The section does not contemplate the imposition of conditions and exceptions on each specific and individual occasion. By holding the intention of the legislature to the contrary, the very principle of non-interference in the matters of detail by the Government is violated and the healthy growth of local self-government will be hindered. Even if it be conceded for a moment, that the Government have powers to create exceptions and impose conditions on each and every individual occasion, this cannot be made applicable to the question under consideration, VIZ., constructing and maintaining a motor-road at a place not generally and on all occassions used by the public at large. The 'roads' contemplated in Section 8 can only be those which are benefecial and absolutely necessary for conducting the public and communication. Moreover, the Order of the Government [illegible]

impose

imposes a financial burden on the District Board which is against the principle of local self-government. It was clearly explained by the Hon. Member Mr. A.R. Banerjee in his speech in the Legislative Council while the Bill was in progress, that "the power of supervision and control exercised by the central government should not cause any financial burden to be thrown on the Local Boards and should be borne by the Provincial Funds.

Sec: 27. Section 27 of the Regulation says that the funding of the District Board shall be applied to meet the charges on the several matters specified in Section 8 and other sections. As I have already submitted the road under discussion does not properly fall within the purview of Section 8, and Section 27 cannot be considered as giving any additional support.

Sec: 28. Section 28 of the Regulation gives power to the Government to sanction the Budget with such modifications, if any, as it may deem fit. This power, according to the plain meaning of the section can be exercised by the Government only with regard to the budgetted items and cannot apply to any other items not included in the Budget. If any budgetted items are either not sanctioned by the Government, or if any items are not included by the Board in submitting the budget, the Government has got the power of sanctioning such items only on a supplemental estimate submitted by the Board, which has been given the power of taking the initiative. The road in question neither found a place in the budget submitted by the Board nor, so far as I aware, was any supplemental estimate sent therefor. On the other hand, the Board have been urging their inability to undertake the work. For these reasons, Section 28, in my humble opinion, does not apply to the question under consideration. I cannot lightly pass over the observation of the Government in Para 2 of their letter that in the exercise of their powers of revision they may give directions to construct the road, "neither a reconsideration nor their (Board's) consent was necessary to give validity to the order passed by the Government". I may be pardoned, if I frankly submit, that the tone of this observation is too assumptive and [illegible]

sure that the Government on further consideration will be convinced that their present treatment of this Local by the Government is not justified either by the Regulation or by the circumstances.

Section 32 which appears in the chapter head of Control does not give any substantial powers of Control to the Deputy Commissioner over the District Board in the sense in which the Government are pleased to interpret the word 'Control'. A plain reading of the Section and the marginal note there to clearly goes to show that the Deputy Commissioner has got powers only to 'supervise' the proceedings of the Board with regard to matters contemplated in the section & his powers of interference are limited only to a case where he considers that the doing of anything by the Board under the cover of the Regulation, "is likely to cause injury and annoyance to the public or to any class or body of persons or lead to a breach of the peace". Here, I might say that the refusal of the District Board to construct a Motor car road in an out of the way place, cannot be conceived to come under the extraordinary powers contemplated by the section. The power of the Government to issue directions claimed under the section cannot be construed to extend beyond what is contemplated in the section.

Sec: 35. Lastly Section 35 is relied on by the Government. This is no doubt an extraordinary power reserved to the Government to meet extraordinary circumstances or failure of the District Board to perform any duty imposed on it by or under the Regulation. It is not my desire to contend that the Government have no sort of control over the Board, but what I do contend is that the control is expected and required to be confined to the laying down of rules of gross policy for the guidance of the Boards, to check the abuse or misapplication of the local funds or other dereliction of duty which calls for the exercise of emergency powers by the Deputy Commissioner and generally to have a sympathetically watchful eye over the administration of the Board. The extraordinary powers reserved to the Government are intended to be used and sparingly exercised when a Board makes default in performing a legitimate duty for the benefit of the general public.

The Local Boards Regulation is applicable only to rural areas, not to towns and cities. It could never have been in the contemplation of the framers of the Regulation, that the Board with their slender means should undertake the construction and maintenance of roads fit for motorcars—thus diverting funds which should have legitimately gone to add to the comforts and conveniences of the simple of the rural population, such as sinking of wells, improvement of communication, sanitation, medical relief &c. &c. The District Board of Mysore consists of representatives from every Taluk and is a fairly intelligent body and it can be safely credited with the good sense to see which work is beneficial to the public at large and which work demands immediate attention. If the Board thought that the Motor Road in question was desirable and necessary for the convenience and safety of the general public, it would have surely undertaken the work long ago and without any external compulsion.

In Conclusion, I might here mention that I have most reluctantly discussed the powers of the Government for whose benevolent intentions I have always the highest respect, and this unpleasant task has been forced upon me as the principle involved in the administration of local self-government is dear to every subject of His Highness the Maharaja; and these Boards are only a preparatory field to train the non-official public for higher privileges and responibilities, and any encroachment or interference by the Government under the name of "Control" with the legitimate powers and functions of Local Bodies, destroys the sense of responsibility in the members and as a corollary to this, the best available men are discouraged from taking part in the local administration.

Paragraph 4 of the Huzrat Secretary's letter requests the District Board through the President to take it to consideration the special significance and importance of the road in question. The existence of any such special significance and importance cannot, however, serve as a justification to override the broad intentions and clear provisions of the Regulation and to dispense with the

with the previous consent and initiative of the Board.

In view of the nature of the discussion at the last meeting of the Board and the friendly advice given by the Hon'ble Minister at that time, the Board has to decide whether, in spite of the objections which I have set forth above, the special rights and privileges referred to by the Government are of such importance as to justify the construction and maintenance of the motor road on the top of the Hill around the temple.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Bhoramappa

Member District Board, Mysore

Seringapatam,
20th January 1921.

the District Board to provide for all expenses and that of the Government to sanction it i.e , the right of the Government arises only when its sanction is applied for.

6. Section 32 refers to the powers of the Deputy Commissioner to supervise the proceedings of the local board. It does not necessarily follow, as stated in the Government order that the Government has got the same rights as that of the District Board. Even granting it, the neither the D.C.nor the Coverment can ~~---~~ excersise powers which are not granted by the regulation.

7. The last section upon which the Government bases its order is 35. Under this section the Government is competent to direct the District Board to ~~prepare~~ perform a certain act when the ~~---~~ District Board makes default in the performance of such duty, if it is ~~---~~, one imposed on it by or under this regulation. In the present instance as shown in para 1 there is no duty cast on the District Board to undertake this work, as it is not one of the public utility and there cannot ~~be~~ possibly be any default on the ~~part~~ part of the ~~---~~ District Board. This section has therefore no application to the present case.

8. It is thus seen that it is the District Board which is responsible for the proper administration of the District fund and the Government can control only when powers are vested, in them by the regulation. Since the District Board is a statutory body, its powers and those of the controling authority are to be derived only from the legislature. The extraordinary powers of the Deputy Commissioner as President of the District Board in case of emergency but uncontrolled by the Board, have been clearly recognised in section 34. If the Government had also absolute powers, they would have been expressed in clear and emphatic terms, In the absense of express provision, we cannot draw by inference and by forced construction, from the several sections of the code, what ought to have been stated in clear and unambiguons languages.

9. For the foregoing reasons, I am of opinion that the right of the Government to control the District Board can

can arise only when sanction is applied for in respect of a parti cular matter, and that it has no power, as in the present instance to take the imtiative and direct the District Board to provide for necessary funds, since the District Board has not moved in the expressed its refusal to bear the cost.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

DISTRICT BOARD

M E M B E R.

Sreerangapatam,

Dated 26th January 1921.

The President,

District Board,

M Y S O R E.

8797

Sir,

With reference to your Lemo No. C.267 Lb: of 1920-21 dated 16th December 1920, calling for the opinion in the matter of controlling and revisionary powers of Government with respect to District fund administration, I beg to submit as follows:-

1. Before considering the question of the powers of Government and as a prelude to it, it is expeditent to consider whether the maintenance of the Motor road round the Sree Chamundeswari Temple on the Hill near Mysore, is a work of public utility, and as such the District Board is under obligation to maintain it. The motor road is intended for, and will be primarily used by Motorists, who own costly Cars, and for whom good roads are an item of luxury. The road now existing will be frequented by the numerous pilligrams resorting to the Temple who do not find the motor road in anywhere useful. The motor road will be an item of immense cost, to the borne by the District Board while the per-centage of Motorists for whom the roads is intended is very small. It is thus seen that the Motor road is not useful to the public at large, since the motorists form only a microscopic minority to the total percentage of population. The District Board is not therefore bound to maintain the new motor road.

2. The next main point to be considered is as to the powers of Government to direct the District Board to undertake the construction and repairs of the road in question or generally in matters of District fund administration. At the outset it may be observed that the District Board has not taken the initiative in matter, and the Government has directed that the District Board should undertake the work and bear the cost. What is more the District Board has declined to bear the cost and the Government

overnment insists upon the District Board taking over the ma
f the road, without its consent and against its will. The question
herefore reduces itself to this. Can the Government f~~XXXX

ಆಚಾರ್ಯ. ಸಭೆ ಪಾಲಂಬಲಿಸಿದೆ
ಮಾಡಿರಿ. ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿದ್ಧಲಿಂಗ ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿಗಳು
ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ
ಶ್ರೀಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನ ಶಿವಾಚಾರ್ಯ ಮಹಾ
ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರು ಸಾನ್ನಿಧ್ಯ
ವಹಿಸಿ. ಶ್ರೀ ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನ ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿಗಳು
ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ ಮಾಡಿದರು. ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯ
ದರ್ಶಿಗಳಾದ, ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಬಸವಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ
ಬಿ.ಎ. ಎಲ್.ಟಿ. ಅವರು ಸಭೆಯ ವರದಿ
ಯನ್ನು ಓದಿದರು, ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಘವು
ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾದ ಸಂದರ್ಭ, ಸಂಘದ ಉದ್ದೇಶ
ಗಳು, ಸಂಘವು ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾದ ರೀತಿ
ಮುಂತಾದ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತವಾಗಿ
ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. 1920 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆಯಾದ ಸಂಘವು ಸುಮಾರು
ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತೈದು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಸಮಾಜದ
ಸೇವೆಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಸಂಘದ
ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಸಮಾಜದ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಗಾಗಿ
ಶ್ರಮಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

1885 ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಸೈನ್ಯವು
ಸಂಗಡ ಯುದ್ಧಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬಹಳ ಕಾಲ
ಠಿಕಾಣಿ ಮಾಡಿತ್ತು. ಆಗ ಮಹಾರಾಜರು
ಕೆಲವು ಸೈನ್ಯವನ್ನು ಹಿಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ
ಕೊಟ್ಟರು. ಆಗ ಹಿಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದ ಸೈನ್ಯದ
ಕೆಲವು ಭಾಗವು ಬೆಳಗಾವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಳಿದು
ಕೊಂಡಿತು. ಇನ್ನು ಕೆಲವು ಭಾಗವು ಧಾರವಾಡ
ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಳಿದುಕೊಂಡಿತು. ಆಗ
ಬೆಳಗಾವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಳಿದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದ ಸೈನ್ಯದ
ಕೆಲವು ಭಾಗವು ಬೆಳಗಾವಿಯಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಉಳಿದು
ಕೊಂಡಿತು. ಇನ್ನು ಕೆಲವು ಭಾಗವು ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ
ಯಿಂದ ಧಾರವಾಡಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿ
ಠಿಕಾಣಿ ಮಾಡಿತು. ಆಗ ಧಾರವಾಡದಲ್ಲಿ
ಇಳಿದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದ ಸೈನ್ಯದ ಕೆಲವು ಭಾಗವು
ಧಾರವಾಡದಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಉಳಿದುಕೊಂಡಿತು.
ಇನ್ನು ಕೆಲವು ಭಾಗವು ಧಾರವಾಡದಿಂದ
ಹುಬ್ಬಳ್ಳಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಠಿಕಾಣಿ ಮಾಡಿತು.
ಆಗ ಹುಬ್ಬಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಳಿದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದ
ಸೈನ್ಯದ ಕೆಲವು ಭಾಗವು ಹುಬ್ಬಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿಯೇ
ಉಳಿದುಕೊಂಡಿತು. ಇನ್ನು ಕೆಲವು ಭಾಗವು
ಹುಬ್ಬಳ್ಳಿಯಿಂದ ಗದಗಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿ
ಠಿಕಾಣಿ ಮಾಡಿತು. ಆಗ ಗದಗದಲ್ಲಿ
ಇಳಿದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದ ಸೈನ್ಯದ ಕೆಲವು ಭಾಗವು
ಗದಗದಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಉಳಿದುಕೊಂಡಿತು.
ಇನ್ನು ಕೆಲವು ಭಾಗವು ಗದಗದಿಂದ
ಬಾಗಲಕೋಟೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿ
ಠಿಕಾಣಿ ಮಾಡಿತು. ಆಗ ಬಾಗಲಕೋಟೆಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಇಳಿದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದ ಸೈನ್ಯದ

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಯಲು ಸೌಲಭ್ಯ
ವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಉಚಿತ ಪ್ರಯಾಣ ಭತ್ಯೆ, ಸಂ
ವಹನ ಭತ್ಯೆ ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರಯಾಣ ಭತ್ಯೆ ಮುಂ
ದಾದ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಶಾಲಾ ಕಾಲೇಜು
ಗಳು - ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ - 1916ಕ್ಕೆ ಮುನ್ನ
ಪದವಿ ಪಡೆದವರು ಮಾತ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಉದ್ಯೋಗಕ್ಕೆ
ಅರ್ಹರು. ಆದರೆ ಈ ನಿಯಮವನ್ನು ಸಡಿಲಿಸಿ
ಪದವಿ ಪಡೆದವರಿಗೆ ಉದ್ಯೋಗಾವಕಾಶ ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.
ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು 3005 ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳು
ಪದವಿ ಪಡೆದಿದ್ದು, ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ 16 ಜನ ಮಹಿಳೆಯರು
ಎಂಬುದು ಗಮನಾರ್ಹ ಸಂಗತಿ.

ಸಮಾಜದಲ್ಲಿ ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ವರ್ಗಗಳ
ಜನರಿಗೆ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ
ಅವರಿಗೆ ಉಚಿತ ಪ್ರಯಾಣ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು
ಪುಸ್ತಕ ಖರೀದಿಗೆ ಹಣ ನೀಡಲಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ
ಅವರಿಗೆ ಉಚಿತ ಊಟ, ವಸತಿ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯ ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.
ಇದರಿಂದ ಅವರು ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ
ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ತೋರಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯಗಳು
ಮುಂದುವರಿಯುತ್ತವೆಯೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಸಂದೇಹ.

(1) ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ವರ್ಗಗಳ ಜನರಿಗೆ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಸಂವ

ಅನುಗುಣವಾಗಿ ಕಂಪನಿಗಳು, ಸಹಭಾಗಿತ್ವ
ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳು

(2) ಹೂಡಿಕೆದಾರರು, ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ
ಸಮಭಾಗಿತ್ವದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೂಡಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲಿಚ್ಛಿಸುವ
ಬಂಡವಾಳಿಗರು, ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು
ಪಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು . . . .

(3) ಕಾರ್ಯಪಡೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಈ
ಈ ಮಾರ್ಗದರ್ಶಿ ಸೂತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸುವ
ಹಂತದಲ್ಲಿ, ಸಂಪರ್ಕಿಸಿದವರುಗಳ
ಪರಿಗಣಿಸುವುದು . . . .

ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾವನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಅನುಸರಿಸಲು, ಹಿರಿಯ ಹೂಡಿಕೆ ಸಲಹಾ
ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ
ಹಂತದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಿಗೆ, ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ
ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಂಪರ್ಕಿಸಲು ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಿಂದ ಬರುವ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ
ಸಲಹೆಗಳನ್ನು, ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಹಿರಿಯ
ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಇಲಾಖೆಗಳ
ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ Broad question of Policy

ಅಂದಿನ ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧ ಕವಿಗಳು ಪಂಪನ ಪ್ರತಿಭೆಯನ್ನು ಮೆಚ್ಚಿ ಅವನಿಗೆ ಅಭಿಮಾನಿಗಳಾಗಿದ್ದರು. ಪಂಪನ ಕಾವ್ಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವ ಪಾತ್ರಗಳು ಅವನ ಜೀವನದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದು ಹೋದ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳೇ ಆಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವಿದೆ

ಎಂಬ. ನಮ್ಮಿಂದ ಪಡಿಂಕಿನಿ, ನಮ್ಮ ಕಿ,
ಕಂಪಂಕ್ಕ ಕಡಕ ಪಲಿಕ್ಕಂಡ್ಡ, ಮ್ಮೆ, ಬಿ
ಕಿ, ಮಲು ಪುಕ್ಕಿಲ ಸ್ಟಿ ಲು ಪಲ್ಲಿಯಾಲು
ಕಿಂದ್ರ, ನಪ್ಪಲುಲಾಂಕಿ. ಕೆಳ. ನಂಪಂಕ್ಕ
ಪಲ್ಲಕಿಂದ್ರ ಪಡ್ಡ. ಕಿಂರಕಿ ಪಲಿಂಚ್ಚೆ ನಪಕ
ಮಲಪಂಪಂತ್ರ, ನಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿಲ. ಕೆಳಕಿಂ
ಕೆ-ಆಯಿಕ್ಕ.

ಲಾಲ್ಕಿಕಿ ಪಾಲಿಕ್ಕ. ಕಿ ಸ್ಟ್ಸ್
ಲಿಲಿಕ್ಕಿ ಕಿನಲ ಪ್ಲಿಕ್ಕಿ ಪಲ್ಲಿಯಾಲು
ಪಲ ಕಿಸ್ಟ್ಸಿಲ ಪಟ್ಟಿ. 27 ಗಿ ಪಲ್ಲಿ
ಕಲ ಲಲಿಕಿಪ್ಪ, ಲುಂಪಿಂಪಂ ಕೆ
ಕಿ, ಪ್ಲಿಲಿಲಿ ಗಿಲಿಕಿಕ. ನಪಲು
ಕಿ - ಕಲ ಲಿಕಿಂಗಿನ. ಲಿಲಿಂಪ್ಪಂ ಪಲು
ಲು, ಲ್ಲಿಲು ಪಕಿಕ್ಕ ಸ್ಟ್ಸ್ ಪಂಕ
ಕಿ. . . ಲಿನಲ ಕಿಸ್ಟ್ಸ ಲಿಂಪಲ್ಲಿ
2ಗಿ ನಲ ಪಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲಿ, ನಗ ಲುಂಗ್ಲಿ. ಕ
ಸ್ಥಿಕಪಲು, ನಂಪ್ಪ ಲ ಪಲ್ಲಿ
ಪಲು ಪಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾಲ ಪಕ್ಕಲುಲಂಪ್ಪಿಲಿಕ

ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಸಮಾಜ ಸೇವಕರು ಸೇರಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ಏರ್ಪಡಿಸಿ, ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿದ್ದ ಜನರಿಗೆ ಸಿಹಿ ಪಾನಕ ಮತ್ತು ಮಜ್ಜಿಗೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಉಪಚರಿಸಿದರು. ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿದ್ದ ಜನರು ಸಮಾಜ ಸೇವಕರ ಕಾರ್ಯವನ್ನು ಶ್ಲಾಘಿಸಿದರು. ಸಭೆಯ ಕೊನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮಾಜ ಸೇವಕರು ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿದ್ದ ಜನರಿಗೆ ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳನ್ನು ಅರ್ಪಿಸಿದರು. ಸಭೆಯು ಮುಕ್ತಾಯವಾಯಿತು.

ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿದ್ದ ಜನರಿಗೆ ಸಿಹಿ ಪಾನಕ ಮತ್ತು ಮಜ್ಜಿಗೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಉಪಚರಿಸಿದ ಸಮಾಜ ಸೇವಕರ ಕಾರ್ಯವನ್ನು ಶ್ಲಾಘಿಸಿದ ಜನರು ಸಭೆಯ ಕೊನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮಾಜ ಸೇವಕರಿಗೆ ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳನ್ನು ಅರ್ಪಿಸಿದ ಸಭೆಯು ಮುಕ್ತಾಯವಾಯಿತು.

ಪ್ರಾಯಶ್ಚಿತ್ತ ಸಂಧಿ. ಪ್ರಾಯಶ್ಚಿತ್ತ ಎಂಬ ಪದವು ಪ್ರಾಯಸ್ + ಚಿತ್ತ ಎಂಬ ಎರಡು ಪದಗಳ ಸಂಧಿಯಿಂದ ಆಗಿದೆ. ಪ್ರಾಯಸ್ ಎಂಬ ಪದಕ್ಕೆ ಅನೇಕ ಅರ್ಥಗಳಿವೆ. ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಹೀಗಿವೆ: ಪ್ರಾಯಸ್ ಎಂದರೆ ಸಾಯುವ ಸ್ಥಿತಿ, ಮರಣ, ಅಂತ್ಯ, ಸಾವು, ಪ್ರಾಯಸ್ ಎಂದರೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ, ಪ್ರಾಯಸ್ ಎಂದರೆ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ, ಪ್ರಾಯಸ್ ಎಂದರೆ ಬಹುಪಾಲು, ಪ್ರಾಯಸ್ ಎಂದರೆ ಅಪರಾಧ, ಪ್ರಾಯಸ್ ಎಂದರೆ ಪಾಪ, ಪ್ರಾಯಸ್ ಎಂದರೆ ಪಶ್ಚಾತ್ತಾಪ, ಪ್ರಾಯಸ್ ಎಂದರೆ ಉಪವಾಸ, ಪ್ರಾಯಸ್ ಎಂದರೆ ಪ್ರಾಯಶ್ಚಿತ್ತ ಎಂಬ ಅರ್ಥಗಳಿವೆ. ಚಿತ್ತ ಎಂದರೆ ಮನಸ್ಸು, ಹೃದಯ, ಭಾವನೆ, ಆಲೋಚನೆ, ಜ್ಞಾನ, ಗಮನ, ಉದ್ದೇಶ, ಇಚ್ಛೆ ಎಂಬ ಅರ್ಥಗಳಿವೆ.

ಪ್ರಾಯಶ್ಚಿತ್ತ ಎಂಬ ಪದವು ಪಾಪ ಅಥವಾ ಅಪರಾಧದ ಪರಿಣಾಮವಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಕ್ರಿಯೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸುತ್ತದೆ. ಪಾಪ ಅಥವಾ ಅಪರಾಧದ ಪರಿಣಾಮವಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಕ್ರಿಯೆಗಳು ಪಶ್ಚಾತ್ತಾಪ, ಉಪವಾಸ, ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ, ಧ್ಯಾನ, ಪೂಜೆ, ಯಜ್ಞ, ದಾನ, ತೀರ್ಥಯಾತ್ರೆ, ಮುಂತಾದವುಗಳಾಗಿರಬಹುದು. ಪ್ರಾಯಶ್ಚಿತ್ತವು ಪಾಪ ಅಥವಾ ಅಪರಾಧದ ಪರಿಣಾಮವಾಗಿ ಉಂಟಾಗುವ ಅಪರಾಧ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ, ಅವಮಾನ, ಅಪರಾಧಿ ಭಾವನೆ, ಮುಂತಾದ ಭಾವನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಡಿಮೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತದೆ. ಪ್ರಾಯಶ್ಚಿತ್ತವು ಪಾಪ ಅಥವಾ ಅಪರಾಧದ ಪರಿಣಾಮವಾಗಿ ಉಂಟಾಗುವ ಅಪರಾಧ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ, ಅವಮಾನ, ಅಪರಾಧಿ ಭಾವನೆ, ಮುಂತಾದ ಭಾವನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಡಿಮೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತದೆ. ಪ್ರಾಯಶ್ಚಿತ್ತವು ಪಾಪ ಅಥವಾ ಅಪರಾಧದ ಪರಿಣಾಮವಾಗಿ ಉಂಟಾಗುವ ಅಪರಾಧ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ, ಅವಮಾನ, ಅಪರಾಧಿ ಭಾವನೆ, ಮುಂತಾದ ಭಾವನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಡಿಮೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತದೆ. ಪ್ರಾಯಶ್ಚಿತ್ತವು ಪಾಪ ಅಥವಾ ಅಪರಾಧದ ಪರಿಣಾಮವಾಗಿ ಉಂಟಾಗುವ ಅಪರಾಧ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ, ಅವಮಾನ, ಅಪರಾಧಿ ಭಾವನೆ, ಮುಂತಾದ ಭಾವನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಡಿಮೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತದೆ.

ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಮಂತ್ರ ನಾನ್ನ ಕಳ್ಳಿಕ ನವಳು, ಸೀರೆ
ಬಿಗಿದ ಪಿಲ್ಲಿಕಿಗಳಾಗಿ, ಕಾರವಿದ
ದ್ದಳು, ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ - ಪಾರಿಜಾತದವರು
ದಾಂಪತ್ಯಕ್ಕಿಂತ ನಾಂಗು ವಿಷಯಂಬಂಧಗಳು
ದ, ಅಂತಿವಂಧಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾ
ಬಂಧನದಲ್ಲಿ, ಸೀರೆ
ಯಲಂಬು ನವಳು, ಪ್ರೇಕ್ಷಕಳ
ಕ್ಕೆ ಕ್ಷೇಪ, ಕಳಸಿ ಅಂಲಂಗಿ ಯಲಂವ
ಹುಡಗ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ, ವಿಷಯಂಬಂಧವೆಂದು
ವನ್ನ, ಅಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲ ಅಭ್ಯಸಕನ್ನ ಯಾ
ನವ್ಯ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ - ಪ್ರಯೋಗಿಸಿ ಯಲಂವ
ದನ್ನ ಹುಡಗಿಕಳಿ, ಸಂಯೋಗ, ಮಾಗಿದ
ಫಲಂ, ವಿದ್ಯಾವಂಸನಕ್ಕೆ, ವಿರುದ್ಧ
ಯಾಂಶ ನಡಿಯಲಿಲ್ಲ, ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ, ಕಳ್ಳಕ
ದವರ, ಕಾಂಕನನ್ನಶಿ - ವಿಸ್ಮಯಕಾಂಕ್ಷ ವಿಲ್ಲ
ಲಂಬಿಕ ಪ ವಿಧ ಬಂಧನಕ್ಕೆಬ್ಬಂದಿಕ್ಕಿಂಬಿ
ಪ್ರೀ .

ಪ್ರೇಕ್ಷಕಳ್ಳಿ, ರಸ್ಯಜ್ಞರು, ನಾಟಕಾಂಗ
ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಆದರಕ್ಕೆ ಪಾತ್ರವಾಗಿ ಯಲಂ
ದಲಂ, ಅಲ ವಿಯೋಗಾಂತ ಕ್ಕೆ ವಳ್ಳೂರಿಂಬ

ಲು ಪ್ರಾಣಕ್ಕೆ ವರ್ಷ, ವಿಷ್ಣು ಶಾಲಿಂಚ ನ್
ದರ್ಶ. ನಾಂವು - ಪ್ರಾಣಿಲು ಎಂಗಿ - ವಚ್ಚಿ
ತಿಕ್ಕಿಲು ತೆಲಿಂ - - -
ತೆಲಿಂ ಮುಂಡೆಲ್ಲಿ ತ
ಉಮ್ಮನವರ ಕಿಂಡಿಲ್ಲಿ ವು, ವಿಶವು
ಉಲಿಂಪ್ರ ಸ್ಪರ್ಶಿಂಗಿ, ಉಂಗಿಲ್ಲಿ
ಕಿವ್ಮ ಮಿಂದಲುಂವು ಕ್ಷಿಕ್ಕಿ - ಉನ್ನವು
ಕಿವಿಕ್ಷಿಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲಿಲಿಕ್ಕಿ ಆ ಉಲ್ಲಿಕ್ಕಿ
ಉಡಲು, ನಮ್ಮ ಕಿಂಮಿಂಗಿನದಕ್ಕು
ಲಕ್ಷಿವಂ ಪ್ರಕೃತ್ಯ ಶಬ್ದಂಪಿಂಗಿಯೂ
ಉಲಿಲಿಕ್ಕಿ. ಉಲಿಂಪ್ರಿಂದ್ರಿ
ಆಡಲಿಂಶಲ್ಲಿ ವ್ಯಂ, ನಮ್ಮ ನಿಕ್ಕಿ
ಶಬ್ದಲು ಚಿಕ್ಕಿ, ಸ್ಪಲಿಂಗಿಲ್ಲಿ ಲು.
ವುಲಿಂಗಿಂಶ್ರ, ಗಡಲಿಲು ಶ್ರ-ನ
ಧ್ವನಿಂಚ, ಉಲಿಂಶಷ್ಟಿ ಲು, ಗಿಂಶ್ರಲಿಂ
ಮಿಂಶ್ರ ತೆಲಿ ಬಾಲ್ಲಿ ಲಿಕ್ಕಿ, ಆ ಕ್ಷಿಲ್ಲಿ
ತಿಚಾಗಿ - ಉನ್ನಲು ನಾಲವಚ್ಚಿ ತಿ
ಶಬ್ದಾಂ ಎಲ್ಲಾಂ. ಉಚನ್ನ ಲು ಚಿಕ್ಕಿ
ನಾ ವಶಲ್ಲಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಲು ಚಿಕ್ಕಿ ತೆಂಲು

G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....9354.....

Section Mmg

File No. 57-70

Serial No. 5

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *191*

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

Read—

G.O. No 3356-60 / Mmg 375-19-4 dated 5th April 1920 directing that the cost of Rs 1882-10-0 already incurred for maintaining the motor road round the Chamundeswari Temple on the Hill near Mysore be met in equal proportions by the P W D and the Distt Board

~~Order Thereon—No.~~

May this be

i Printed ?

treated as a standing order ?

published in the Gazette, English Part ?

translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

and the Chamundeswari
Temple funds as a
special case and that
the maintenance
charges be borne in
equal proportions by the
temple & the Distt Board

2). Letter no C 267/LB 20-21
dated 3rd Feb 1921 from
the President, DtBd.
Mysore submitting for
orders a resolution of the
Board in the matter
of maintaining the
road refd to in the
G.O read above

List of enclosures

order no
In modification of the
G.O read above, Govt are
pleased to direct that Copied by
the maintenance charges Examined by
already incurred be borne
equally by the PWD & the Sri Chamundeswari
~~Inam dept~~ Temple & that the

WD 1744-GPB 10 000 17-1

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

future maintenance charges of the road in question be borne in equal proportions by the D.C. Board and the Temple as already ordered

~~2. The chief Engineer~~ is requested to point out to the Surveyor by which the Govt share the expense will be met

2) The cost will be met from the "Reserve grant under Communications" as pointed out by the Chief Engr.

15 Jour-on this act

Mr. 26-8

DC

~~The Hazur~~ Secy to H.H.
The Chief Engr
" President, 81 R.T. Nagar
" Manager Depot on Mysore
" Comptroller & Auditor

Copy

GPB—20,000—7-7-14

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

---

Read:-

Government Order No. 3354-60/Mis. 375-10-0 dated 7th April 1920 directing that the cost, ~~of~~ viz., Rs.1,882-10-0 thereof, incurred for maintaining the motor road round the Chamundeswari Temple on the Hill near Mysore be met in equal proportions by the P.W.D., the District Board, and the Chamundeswari Temple Funds as a special case and that the maintenance charges be borne in equal proportions by the temple and the District Board.

2. Letter No.C.269/L.B. 20-21 dated 3rd February 1921 from the President, District Board, Mysore, submitting for orders a resolution of the Board in the matter of maintaining the road referred to in the Government Order read above.

Order No. 1564-8/Mis 257-20-5

Dated, Bangalore, 21.9.21.

In modification of the Government Order read above, Government are pleased to direct that the maintenance charges amounting to Rs.1,882-10-0 already incurred be borne equally by the P.W.D. and the Sri Chamundeswari Temple and that the future maintenance charges of the road in question be borne in equal proportions by the District Board and the Temple as already ordered.

3. The cost to be borne by Government on this account will be met from the reserve grant under "Repairs - Communications" as pointed out by the Chief Engineer.

By Order and in the name of the Maharaja,

Secretary to Govt.

To

The Huzur Secretary to Government.
The Chief Engineer, P.W.D.
The President, District Board, Mysore.
The Muzrai Superintendent in Mysore
The Comptroller to Govt
Muzrai Office